GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906.

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 60

Serial Nos. 1-4

Papers Filed.

Month August 1907

SUBJECT.

Petition from Nanjunda Sastry & others of Triplicane complaining of the alienations of certain properties belonging to the Sri Chandramouleswara Swamy Temple at the place.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 60-06

SUBJECT.

In re the alleged alienation of certain building belonging to the Sri Chendramouleswaraswamy temple at Srinivaspur

From

The Dy. Commr Kolar District.

No. 4 Dated 1st/14th July 1909.

Slip 4) A number of residents of Srinivaspur petitioned the Dewan Saheb on the 15th November 1906 stating that they constructed a house for the Archak Subrayappa of the Sri Chendramouleswaraswamy temple to live in and a Stone mantap for depositing the temple Vahanams etc. and endowed them to the temple, that the Khata of the house was in the name of the Archak, that the Patel Srikantiah's father also bore the same name, that Patel Srikantiah having defalcated some

font money, his properties together with those in the name of his father Subbarayya, among which was included by mistake the house in question, as the Khata thereof was in the name of (Archak) Subbarayappa, were sold. They further represented that out of the sale proceeds, after making good the amount actually embezzled by the Patel, some balance was left and prayed that this amount might be paid to the purchasers of the house and the temple property restored to the institution. The petition having been forwarded to the Dy commr of the Kolar Distt, that officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the vernacular records bearing on the subject.

Sri Sambhattara Swappa and others (Sub B having given depositions to say that they contributed towards the construction of the house and mantap referred to, that they (building) were constructed by Malliah for the benefit of the temple, that the Archak Subbarayappa was residing

in the house and was paying mohatarfa.

One Seetharamiah deposes that the Amildar Mr. Raghavendra Rao was residing in this house for some time, and that the building was being used for ~~holding~~ house [illegible]

(Slip C) The Archak Subbaraya Deekshithar deposes that Srikantiah constructed the house and endowed it to the temple as his Swartha, that he has heard it said that the Patta thereof was in his name, that he was residing in the house and was executing the repairs, that he was not paying the mohatarfa, and that Srikantappa was managing the affairs of the institution.

(Slip D) Venkatasami Reddy, the purchaser the house deposes that a purchase-money of Rs 1064 was paid by h[illegible]

house, that he has since spent Rs 9f for executing certain repairs, and states that if a total sum of Rs 118f be paid to him, he is willing to part with the house for the temple. He requests that this amount may be paid from the balance available from the sale realizations. He adds that if it is not possible to refund the purchase money, he will consider about gifting away the house to the temple.

The Amildar reports that the balance available is Rs 224-6-2 and that Venkatasama Reddy's intention is presumably, to ~~recover~~ get back some amount from Govt. and after foregoing certain amount, to restore the house to the temple.

The Dy Commr in submitting the Assistant Commr's. English report, (Paper under disposa

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

States that the house in question was built by the ancestors of Bdlay Munisamiah, but was usurped by Patel Srikantiah's father several years ago. This was sold towards the realization of certain money defalcates by Patel Srikantiah and that the sale was confirmed by his predecessor in office. He further states that the allegation of the petitioners that the house was in the name of the Archak of the temple is incorrect. He is of opinion that the devotees may be directed to pay the purchase money and restore the house to the temple, as the purchaser is willing to give up the building in favor of the temple

Submitted.

AS 30/7/09

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

Both the Dy.Comm.r and the Asst.Comm.r who conducted the enquiry say that the temple has no right to the house in question. I therefore think it is not possible for the temple to appropriate the balance of the sale proceeds of the house. This balance of Rs 224-6-2 is stated by the Amildar to be under attachment in the Civil Court. As it is thus impossible to find any money for purchasing the house from the present holder, the Dy.Commissioner's suggestion to induce the devisees to pay the requisite amount for purchasing the house, may be approved. That Officer may be also requested to induce Venkatasami Reddi to make a gift of the house to the temple,

† and other properties belonging to the

Page ( )

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

as the latter seems to have expressed his intention to do so - vide Tahsildar's report no. 632/2 dt. 30th June 1907

Submitted to the 2nd Commissioner

L. Bhandaram Rao

2/8/07

The matter may be left to the Dy Commr for disposal I dare say Venkataswamy Reddy will make a gift of this house to the Temple if properly approached - We cannot interfere with the balance amount attached by Civil Court -

Draft yk 2/8/07

Kpk 2/8/07

Issue the draft proposals yk 2/8/07

Dft returned 2/8/07.

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

3162

15/11/06

Student
at School

Late Student
now at Playground

Late Student
now at Office

Muz. 3J

J-60-06

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 4774 Exec/

The accompanying Petition No. 3162

dated 15 : 11 : 06 received at this

Office from Nanjunda Sastry

and others of Srinivaspur,

Complaining of the alienation

of certain properties

belonging to the Sri Bhoga

Nandeswaraswamy at the

place,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Dy - Commr.

Kolar Distt. for disposal

or for report, if necessary

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
4 / 12 19 .

N.C. H.T. Noted to the petn
20/12/06

1906-07

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Durbar Dept.

Dated 1-7-07.

Despatched 1st

Received

General No.
No. - 7 -

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Submitting a report on the petition of Ranjunda Sastry and others of Srinivasapur complaining against the alienation of certain building alleged to be the property of Sri Chandramowleswara Swami temple at the place &c



AV.
Please put up a Statement
by 17/1/07

of Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, 1st July 1907.

From,

C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Esqre, B.A.B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

To,

L. Ananthasami Row Esqre, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Mewzai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 4774 dated 4th April 1907, forwarding for report a petition from Ranjundasetty and others of Srinivasapur, complaining against the alienation of certain building alleged to be the property of Sri Chandramavaleswara Swami temple at the place, I have the honor to state that I caused an enquiry to be made in the matter and find that the house in question was built by the ancestors of

Balay

Balay Dhanisamiah, but was usurped by Patel Srikantiah's fathers several years ago. This was sold towards the realization of certain Government money defalcated by Patel Srikantiah and the Sale was confirmed by my predecessor. —

2. The allegations of the petitioners that the house was in the name of the Archik of the temple is incorrect as evidenced from his Statement on the 25th May/07 filed in the records. —

3. As the present purchaser is willing to give up the building in favor of the temple, should the purchase money be paid to him, the devotees may, in my opinion, be directed to pay the amount and restore the house to the temple for keeping the Vahanams &c. —

4. Correspondence ending with

Kolar

Commissioner's report No. 779
dated 6th instant is herein
enclosed for reference. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Dft. as per Cri's order

Jung 1-60-06

To,

The Deputy Commr.
Kolar Distt

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no 7/6 dated 1st July 1904 submitting a report on the petition of Nanjunda Sastry and others of Srinivaspur complaining against the alienation of a certain building alleged to be the property of the Sri Chennaramuleswara-swamy temple at the place, I am directed to observe that as reported by you the temple appears to have no right to the house in question, it is not possible for the temple worshippers for Govt. to interfere on behalf of the

No. 8998/14.8.07
Send file

~~Sale proceeds of the house~~

and other properties belong-
ing to ~~the~~ Batel Seshantiah. ~~The balance is~~
~~reported to be under attach-~~
~~ment in the Civil Court and I am~~ ~~cannot interfere~~
~~in these circumstances,~~
~~I am directed to request~~

your suggestion therefore that the
Devasthan may be called upon to
pay for purchasing the house, is appro
in the matter.

2.
~~That, as~~ Venkatarama Reddi
purchaser of the said house
seems to have expressed, in his statement before the Amildar,
~~an alternative~~
his intention to make a
gift of the house to the
and he may perhaps give effect
temple, ~~in his statement~~
to that intention if properly approached.
~~before the Amildar,~~ ~~you~~ ~~and the matter is best left~~
to you for disposal. ~~I am~~
~~will be good enough to~~ I am to add
~~use your good offices~~ ~~requested~~ that Venkatarama Reddi will make a gift of
~~the house to the temple~~
and induce the said individual ~~to do so~~
~~to do so and report the~~ 3. You are requested
result to this office. to give effect to the gift as
herein provided.

I have etc

10/8/07
Secretary